

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/029,021             | AHMAD ET AL.        |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | Saif A. Alhija         | 2128                |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Saif A. Alhija.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) Mark Mollon.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 May 2006
**Time:** 1:30pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Original claims 4-8 (now claims 3-7)*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Original claims 4-8 (now claims 3-7) all dependent upon cancelled original claim 3. Examiner received permission from Applicant to change dependency of new claims 3-7 to depend on independent claim 1.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**HUGH JONES Ph.D.**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**  
\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner's Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)